

Poster Adjudication

First Name				Mark
Surname				
Adjudicator				Poster ID
	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	NEEDS WORK
Poster Content	10	8	6	0
Title	Short and Informative and makes you want to read more	Short and informative	Long but still informative	Long and not informative
Introduction	10	8	6	0
	Clearly understood and clearly communicated where the work, research sits in the water cycle or relates to the water cycle.	Clear understanding but not well communicated where the work, research sits in the water cycle or relates to the water cycle	Poor understanding but well communicated where the work, research sits in the water cycle or relates to the water cycle	Poor understanding and poorly communicated where the work, research sits in the water cycle or relates to the water cycle
Your Approach/methodology	10	8	6	0
	Clear, brief recap of methods. Justified selection of appropriate methods.	Methods given but not justified	Too much text going into detail about the methodology but approach not justified.	Methods unclear.
Your Findings. Results and Discussion	20	15	10	0
	Results clearly presented using appropriate format Significant trends noted. Well articulated discussion.	Results presented using charts/tables. Significant trends noted. Good articulated discussion.	Results presented clearly. Lack of critical assessment. Some discussion	Results presented. Lack of critical assessment. Poor/no discussion.
Conclusions	10	8	6	0
	Results leading into reasoned, logical conclusions. Conclusions explained simply for audience to understand	Results leading into logical conclusions. Conclusions supported by reasoning	Logical conclusions presented with little reasoning.	Confused/unclear conclusions given. Little/no explanation.
Acknowledgements and References	10	8	6	0
	Donors acknowledged and 2-3 References which are cited in the text	Donors acknowledged and only 1 reference which is cited in the text.	Acknowledgements and references included but not cited in the text	No Acknowledgements and references included but not cited in text
Poster Style	10	8	6	0
Layout	Professional Layout that catches your eye. Perfect balance of text, figures and/or tables and legible from a distance	Professional layout that catches the eye and makes you want to read more. Too much text but still legible from a distance	Professional layout but too much text and not enough figures and/or tables. Text too small.	Poor layout with no diagrams and/or tables
Figures and Tables	10	8	6	0
	Well explained original diagrams with simplified tables that show only the relevant information	Well explained copied diagrams with simplified tables that show only the relevant information	Diagrams original but poorly explained. Tables contain non-essential information.	Diagrams copied and not explained. Tables do not add value to the poster.
Overall impression	10	8	6	0
	Professional Poster with the author following advice for conference	Professional Poster with the author only following some of the advice.	Professional Poster with the author not following advice but still created a professional poster	Unprofessional poster with the author not following advice
Comments				

2-Minute-Thesis Adjudication

First Name				Mark	
Surname				Session	
Adjudicator					
	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	NEEDS WORK	
Presentaton Content	20	15	10	0	
Key Message	Clearly understood and clearly communicated the key message of the research	Clearly understood but not communicated clearly	Poor understanding but well communicated key message	Poor understanding and poorly communicated the key message	
	20	15	10	0	
Communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience	Clearly communicated in language appropriate to a non specialist audience. Technical aspects well explained.	Clearly communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience with explained acronyms and some scientific jargon. Attempt made at explaining technical aspects.	Used too many acronyms and scientific jargon which made the presentation difficult to understand for non-specialists. No explanation of technical aspects.	Poor effort in making their research understood by non-specialists	
	20	15	10	0	
Time Management	Excellent time management. Well rehearsed, with good balance and good use of time.	Good time management, but presentation rushed or spect too long on one aspect.	Poor time management with short or rushed presentation because they spent too much or too little time on one aspect.	Poor time management with the key message not articulated because they went over time.	
Presentation Style	20	15	10	0	
Physical Presence	Gestures, facial expressions, eye contact, and posture were appropriate and always displayed poise and enthusiasm	Gestures, facial expressions, eye contact, and posture were usually appropriate with poise and enthusiasm displayed most of the time	Gestures, facial expressions, eye contact, and posture were occasionally appropriate with poise and enthusiasm displayed some of the time	Gestures, facial expressions, eye contact, and posture were often not appropriate with poise and enthusiasm seldom displayed	
	20	15	10	0	
Powerpoint slide	Powerpoint presentation effectively helped explain and support the verbal presentation, slide was easy to read and contained no grammatical errors	Powerpoint presentation helped explain and support the verbal presentation, slides was easy to read and contained occassional grammatical errors	Powerpoint presentation was somewhat helpful in explaining and supporting the verbal presentation, but had some problems with visual clarity or grammatical errors	Powerpoint presentation was slightly helpful in explaining or supporting the verbal presentation, but was not easy to read and / or had multiple grammatical errors	
Comments					